



2812

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby certify that this transmittal of the below described document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope bearing First Class Postage and addressed to the Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date of deposit.			
Date of Deposit:	05/21/03	Name of Person Making the Deposit:	Andrea Martinez
		Signature of the Person Making the Deposit:	<i>Andrea Martinez</i>

In re Application of: Thurman J. Rodgers and Bo Soon Chang

Serial No.: 10/085,716

Examiner: Stevenson, A.

Filed: 02/27/02

Art Unit: 2812

For: A METHOD OF PERFORMING BACK-END MANUFACTURING OF AN INTEGRATED CIRCUIT DEVICE

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450Response to Restriction Requirement Transmittal

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application

☒ Transmitted herewith is a response to an office action for the above identified patent application.
(2 sheets)

Transmitted herewith are _____ sheets of substitute formal drawings.

Other:

2. Applicant is other than a small entity

Extension of Term

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply.

(a) ☐ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136
(fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)-(d) for the total number of months checked below:)

<u>Extension</u>	<u>Fee</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> one month	\$110.00
<input type="checkbox"/> two months	\$410.00
<input type="checkbox"/> three months	\$930.00
<input type="checkbox"/> four months	\$1,450.00

Fee \$ _____

RECEIVED
MAY 29 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(b) ☒ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

Fee Calculation

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(for other than a small entity)					
Fee Items	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number of Claims Previously Paid For	Present Extra Claims	Fee Rate	Total
Total Claims	25	- 25 =	0	x \$18.00	0.00
Independent Claims	3	- 3 =	0	x \$84.00	0.00
Multiple Dependent Claim Fee (one or more, first added by this amendment)				\$260.00	0.00
Total Fees					0.00

PAYMENT OF FEES

5. The full fee due in connection with this communication is provided as follows:
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085.
A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.
- ☐ A check in the amount of \$
- ☐ Charge any fees required or credit any overpayments associated with this filing to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085.

Please direct all correspondence concerning the above-identified application to the following address:


WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP
Two North Market Street, Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
(408) 938-9060

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

May 21, 2003

By:



Mehlin Dean Matthews
Reg. No. 46,127

#8/Election
Hawkins
6/5/03



CYPR-PM01010

Patent

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of :

Rodgers and Chang

Serial No.: 10/085,716

Filed: 2/27/02

For: A METHOD OF PERFORMING
BACK-END MANUFACTURING
OF AN INTEGRATED CIRCUIT
DEVICE

Examiner: STEVENSON, A.

Art Unit: 2812

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner of
Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed April 21, 2003, for the above captioned patent application, Applicant respectfully requests consideration of the following remarks.

REMARKS

The requirement for restriction and comments of the Examiner set forth in the Office Action dated April 21, 2003 have been carefully reviewed by the Applicants.

RECEIVED
MAY 29 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER-2800

A requirement for restriction has been made under 35 U.S.C. 121. Two distinct inventions have been identified by the two sets of claims shown below:

I. Claims 1 through 25, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 14.


II. Claims 26 through 42, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 257, subclass 9.

In order to comply with the requirement for restriction, the Applicants elect set I (Claims 1-25), with traverse, for prosecution in the present application. The Applicants traverse the requirement for restriction on the grounds that Claims 26 through 42 are not drawn to an apparatus, but to a process, as evidenced by the preamble of Claims 26-42.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO

Date: May 21, 2003


Mehlin Dean Matthews
Registration Number: 46,127

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO
Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, CA 95113

(408)938-9060